

Gaussian Correlation via Inverse Brascamp-Lieb

Emanuel Milman
Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Asymptotic Geometric Analysis
Online Seminar
April 2025



This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101001677).

Gaussian Correlation Inequality

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.
I.e., if $Z \sim N(0, \text{Id})$, $P(Z \in K \wedge Z \in L) \geq P(Z \in K)P(Z \in L)$.

Prior partial results:

- Khatri, Šidák '67 - $K = [-a, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.
- Pitt '77 - confirmed $n = 2$, inspired conjecture.
- Folklore - convex K, L are unconditional.
- Schechtman–Schlumprecht–Zinn '98 - K, L centered ellipsoids; convex sets of small measure.
- Hargé '99, Cordero-Erausquin '02 - K centered ellipsoid.
- Royen '14 - resolved conjecture. By origin-symmetry $(X_i^2) \sim \chi^2$ and Laplace transform; more general Γ -distributions.
- Łatała–Matlak '17 - detailed exposition.

Gaussian Correlation Inequality

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.
I.e., if $Z \sim N(0, \text{Id})$, $P(Z \in K \wedge Z \in L) \geq P(Z \in K)P(Z \in L)$.

Prior partial results:

- Khatri, Šidák '67 - $K = [-a, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.
- Pitt '77 - confirmed $n = 2$, inspired conjecture.
- Folklore - convex K, L are unconditional.
- Schechtman–Schlumprecht–Zinn '98 - K, L centered ellipsoids; convex sets of small measure.
- Hargé '99, Cordero-Erausquin '02 - K centered ellipsoid.
- Royen '14 - resolved conjecture. By origin-symmetry $(X_i^2) \sim \chi^2$ and Laplace transform; more general Γ -distributions.
- Łatała–Matlak '17 - detailed exposition.

Extensions and Conjectures

- Eskenazis–Nayar–Tkocz '18 - extended GCI to Gaussian mixtures $\sim S \cdot Z$. E.g. symmetric p -stable laws, $p \in (0, 2)$.
- Conj (Tehranchi '17) - $\gamma^n(K + L)\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$? (True for Lebesgue measure, open for $n \geq 2$).

Assoulin–Chor–Sadovsky '24 - if $K + L \leftrightarrow \text{Conv}(K \cup L)$:

- True for $K = [-a, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.
- False in general.
- Royen '20-'25, Assoulin–Chor–Sadovsky '24 - further improvements over GCI.
- Nakamura–Tsuji '25+ (forthcoming).

Equivalent formulations of GCI

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.

Let $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Denote $P_i: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- $\forall$ convex $K = -K$, $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in K \wedge X \in L) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)\mathbb{P}(X \in L).$$

- $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_1, f_2: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(X)f_2(X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(X)\mathbb{E}f_2(X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$, $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_i: \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)f_2(P_2X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)\mathbb{E}f_2(P_2X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_1} |X_k| \leq 1\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{n_1+1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right).$$

To close equivalence, approximate $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_1} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$, $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto (\langle x, u_k \rangle)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Then $T_*\gamma^n \sim N(0, \Sigma')$ degenerate in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Approximate by non-deg. Σ .

Equivalent formulations of GCI

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.

Let $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Denote $P_i: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- $\forall$ convex $K = -K$, $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in K \wedge X \in L) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)\mathbb{P}(X \in L).$$

- $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_1, f_2: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(X)f_2(X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(X)\mathbb{E}f_2(X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$, $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_i: \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)f_2(P_2X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)\mathbb{E}f_2(P_2X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_1} |X_k| \leq 1\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{n_1+1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right).$$

To close equivalence, approximate $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_1} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$, $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto (\langle x, u_k \rangle)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Then $T_*\gamma^n \sim N(0, \Sigma')$ degenerate in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Approximate by non-deg. Σ .

Equivalent formulations of GCI

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.

Let $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Denote $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- $\forall$ convex $K = -K$, $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in K \wedge X \in L) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)\mathbb{P}(X \in L).$$

- $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(X)f_2(X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(X)\mathbb{E}f_2(X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$, $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)f_2(P_2X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)\mathbb{E}f_2(P_2X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_1} |X_k| \leq 1\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{n_1+1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right).$$

To close equivalence, approximate $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_1} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$, $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto (\langle x, u_k \rangle)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Then $T_*\gamma^n \sim N(0, \Sigma')$ degenerate in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Approximate by non-deg. Σ .

Equivalent formulations of GCI

Gaussian Correlation Inequality, Royen '14

$\forall$ convex $K = -K$ and $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^n(K \cap L) \geq \gamma^n(K)\gamma^n(L)$.

Let $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Denote $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- $\forall$ convex $K = -K$, $L = -L$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in K \wedge X \in L) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)\mathbb{P}(X \in L).$$

- $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(X)f_2(X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(X)\mathbb{E}f_2(X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$, $\forall$ even quasi-concave $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)f_2(P_2X) \geq \mathbb{E}f_1(P_1X)\mathbb{E}f_2(P_2X).$$

- $\forall N = n_1 + n_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n_1} |X_k| \leq 1\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{n_1+1 \leq k \leq N} |X_k| \leq 1\right).$$

To close equivalence, approximate $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_1} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$, $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bigcap_{k=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \{|\langle \cdot, u_k \rangle| \leq 1\}$. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto (\langle x, u_k \rangle)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Then $T_*\gamma^n \sim N(0, \Sigma')$ degenerate in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Approximate by non-deg. Σ .

New proof of GCI using Inverse Brascamp-Lieb (M.'25)

Denote $g_A(x) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $\mathbb{R}^N = \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^N$ arbitrary signature, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp-Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura-Tsuji '24)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Case $Q_i = 0$ was proved by Nakamura-Tsuji '24; our proof is a verbatim repetition of theirs.
- Brascamp-Lieb '76, Lieb '90: $Q_i = 0$, $\bar{Q} > 0$, no evenness nor log-concavity of h_i , centered Gaussians majorize this ratio.
- NT '24: inverse BL for general $\bar{Q}$ cannot hold without centering h_i ; asked whether (technical?) log-concavity can be removed.
- M. '25: False for general even h_i ($\leadsto$ GCI for non-convex sets).

New proof of GCI using Inverse Brascamp-Lieb (M.'25)

Denote $g_A(x) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $\mathbb{R}^N = \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^N$ arbitrary signature, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp-Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura-Tsuji '24)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Case $Q_i = 0$ was proved by Nakamura-Tsuji '24; our proof is a verbatim repetition of theirs.
- Brascamp-Lieb '76, Lieb '90: $Q_i = 0$, $\bar{Q} > 0$, no evenness nor log-concavity of h_i , centered Gaussians majorize this ratio.
- NT '24: inverse BL for general $\bar{Q}$ cannot hold without centering h_i ; asked whether (technical?) log-concavity can be removed.
- M. '25: False for general even h_i ($\leadsto$ GCI for non-convex sets).

New proof of GCI using Inverse Brascamp-Lieb (M.'25)

Denote $g_A(x) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $\mathbb{R}^N = \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^N$ arbitrary signature, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp-Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura-Tsuji '24)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Case $Q_i = 0$ was proved by Nakamura-Tsuji '24; our proof is a verbatim repetition of theirs.
- Brascamp-Lieb '76, Lieb '90: $Q_i = 0$, $\bar{Q} > 0$, no evenness nor log-concavity of h_i , centered Gaussians majorize this ratio.
- NT '24: inverse BL for general $\bar{Q}$ cannot hold without centering h_i ; asked whether (technical?) log-concavity can be removed.
- M. '25: False for general even h_i ($\leadsto$ GCI for non-convex sets).

New proof of GCI using Inverse Brascamp-Lieb (M.'25)

Denote $g_A(x) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $\mathbb{R}^N = \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^N$ **arbitrary signature**, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thm (**Even Inverse** Brascamp-Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura-Tsuji '24)

For all **even log-concave** $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Case $Q_i = 0$ was proved by Nakamura-Tsuji '24; our proof is a verbatim repetition of theirs.
- Brascamp-Lieb '76, Lieb '90: $Q_i = 0$, $\bar{Q} > 0$, **no evenness nor log-concavity** of h_i , centered Gaussians **majorize** this ratio.
- NT '24: inverse BL for **general** $\bar{Q}$ cannot hold without centering h_i ; asked whether (technical?) log-concavity can be removed.
- M. '25: False for general even h_i ($\leadsto$ GCI for non-convex sets).

New proof of GCI using Inverse Brascamp-Lieb (M.'25)

Denote $g_A(x) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $\mathbb{R}^N = \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^N$ arbitrary signature, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp-Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura-Tsuji '24)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Case $Q_i = 0$ was proved by Nakamura-Tsuji '24; our proof is a verbatim repetition of theirs.
- Brascamp-Lieb '76, Lieb '90: $Q_i = 0$, $\bar{Q} > 0$, no evenness nor log-concavity of h_i , centered Gaussians majorize this ratio.
- NT '24: inverse BL for general $\bar{Q}$ cannot hold without centering h_i ; asked whether (technical?) log-concavity can be removed.
- M. '25: False for general even h_i ($\leadsto$ GCI for non-convex sets).

Plan for the talk

- Show how this proves GCI.
- Discuss history of Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs.
- Sketch Nakamura–Tsuji proof.
- Concluding Remarks.

Proof of GCI via Inverse BL

Recall $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

X centered Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Denote $\Sigma = \text{Cov } X$, $\Sigma_i = \text{Cov } P_i X$.

Apply inverse BL w/ $\bar{Q} = \Sigma^{-1}$, $Q_i = \Sigma_i^{-1}$, $c_i = 1$, $h_i = \mathbf{1}_{K_i}$, $K_i = [-1, 1]^{n_i}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1)}{\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} |X_j| \leq 1) \mathbb{P}(\max_{n_1+1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)} \geq \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) g_{A_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} g_{A_2}(P_2 X)} \\ &= \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_{n_1} + A_1 \Sigma_1) \det(\text{Id}_{n_2} + A_2 \Sigma_2)}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2)(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2))}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\mathbb{E} g_{A_i}(P_i X) = \det(\Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det(\Sigma_i^{-1} + A_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \det(\text{Id}_{n_i} + A_i \Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof of GCI via Inverse BL

Recall $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

X centered Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Denote $\Sigma = \text{Cov } X$, $\Sigma_i = \text{Cov } P_i X$.

Apply inverse BL w/ $\bar{Q} = \Sigma^{-1}$, $Q_i = \Sigma_i^{-1}$, $c_i = 1$, $h_i = \mathbf{1}_{K_i}$, $K_i = [-1, 1]^{n_i}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1)}{\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} |X_j| \leq 1) \mathbb{P}(\max_{n_1+1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)} \geq \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) g_{A_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} g_{A_2}(P_2 X)} \\ &= \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_{n_1} + A_1 \Sigma_1) \det(\text{Id}_{n_2} + A_2 \Sigma_2)}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2)(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2))}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\mathbb{E} g_{A_i}(P_i X) = \det(\Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det(\Sigma_i^{-1} + A_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \det(\text{Id}_{n_i} + A_i \Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof of GCI via Inverse BL

Recall $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

X centered Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Denote $\Sigma = \text{Cov } X$, $\Sigma_i = \text{Cov } P_i X$.

Apply inverse BL w/ $\bar{Q} = \Sigma^{-1}$, $Q_i = \Sigma_i^{-1}$, $c_i = 1$, $h_i = \mathbf{1}_{K_i}$, $K_i = [-1, 1]^{n_i}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1) \\ & \frac{\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} |X_j| \leq 1) \mathbb{P}(\max_{n_1+1 \leq j \leq N} |X_j| \leq 1)}{=} \\ & \frac{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{K_2}(P_2 X)} \geq \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) g_{A_2}(P_2 X)}{\mathbb{E} g_{A_1}(P_1 X) \mathbb{E} g_{A_2}(P_2 X)} \\ & = \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_{n_1} + A_1 \Sigma_1) \det(\text{Id}_{n_2} + A_2 \Sigma_2)}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \inf_{A_1, A_2 \geq 0} \left(\frac{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2)(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2))}{\det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2) \Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\mathbb{E} g_{A_i}(P_i X) = \det(\Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det(\Sigma_i^{-1} + A_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \det(\text{Id}_{n_i} + A_i \Sigma_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Royen's lemma

Lemma (Royen '14)

Let $\Sigma^{(t)} := (1 - t)(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2) + t\Sigma$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then $\forall A_1, A_2 \geq 0$, $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2)\Sigma^{(t)})$ is $\searrow$.

Proof:

Changing orthonormal basis, may assume $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \geq 0$ diagonal. In Royen's proof, $A = \text{diag}(\Lambda_i)$ are Laplace transform parameters; for us, A is the covariance of the saturating Gaussians.

$$|\text{Id}_N + A\Sigma^{(t)}| = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset [N]} |(A\Sigma^{(t)})_J| = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset [N]} |A_J| |\Sigma_J^{(t)}|.$$

Reduces to showing $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto |\Sigma_J^{(t)}|$ is $\searrow$. Indeed:

$$\Sigma_J^{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{J_1} & t\Sigma_{J_1 J_2} \\ t\Sigma_{J_2 J_1} & \Sigma_{J_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Sigma_J^{(t)}| = |\Sigma_{J_1}| |\Sigma_{J_2}| |\text{Id}_{J_1} - t^2 M|, \quad 0 \leq M \leq \text{Id}_{J_1}.$$



Royen's lemma

Lemma (Royen '14)

Let $\Sigma^{(t)} := (1 - t)(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2) + t\Sigma$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then $\forall A_1, A_2 \geq 0$, $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \det(\text{Id}_N + (A_1 \oplus A_2)\Sigma^{(t)})$ is $\searrow$.

Proof:

Changing orthonormal basis, may assume $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \geq 0$ diagonal. In Royen's proof, $A = \text{diag}(\Lambda_i)$ are Laplace transform parameters; for us, A is the covariance of the saturating Gaussians.

$$|\text{Id}_N + A\Sigma^{(t)}| = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset [N]} |(A\Sigma^{(t)})_J| = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset [N]} |A_J| |\Sigma_J^{(t)}|.$$

Reduces to showing $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto |\Sigma_J^{(t)}|$ is $\searrow$. Indeed:

$$\Sigma_J^{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{J_1} & t\Sigma_{J_1 J_2} \\ t\Sigma_{J_2 J_1} & \Sigma_{J_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Sigma_J^{(t)}| = |\Sigma_{J_1}| |\Sigma_{J_2}| |\text{Id}_{J_1} - t^2 M|, \quad 0 \leq M \leq \text{Id}_{J_1}.$$



Even Inverse BL — Reformulation

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Change parametrization: $f_i = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i$.

$Q = \bar{Q} - c_1 Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus c_m Q_m$ arbitrary signature, $B_i = A_i + Q_i$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb again)

For all even $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Write $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-concave}$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-convex}$.

Even Inverse BL — Reformulation

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Change parametrization: $f_i = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i$.

$Q = \bar{Q} - c_1 Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus c_m Q_m$ arbitrary signature, $B_i = A_i + Q_i$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb again)

For all even $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Write $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-concave}$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-convex}$.

Even Inverse BL — Reformulation

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb)

For all even log-concave $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x) dx)$:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Change parametrization: $f_i = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i$.

$Q = \bar{Q} - c_1 Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus c_m Q_m$ arbitrary signature, $B_i = A_i + Q_i$.

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb again)

For all even $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

Write $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-concave}$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ if $f_i = g_{Q_i} \cdot \log\text{-convex}$.

Comparison with other Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs

Thm (Forward Brascamp–Lieb '76, Lieb '90). Combine Bennet–Carbery–Christ–Tao '08, Valdimarsson '07, Kolesnikov '11)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$. Then for all $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \leq \inf_{0 < B_i \leq Q_i} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Can replace x_i in numerator with $P_i x$, $P_i: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$.
Generalized Hölder's inq; optimal constant in Young's inq.
- Taking $Q_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $\Lambda \nearrow \infty$ (no restriction on f_i , $B_i > 0$) $\leadsto$ Lieb '90.
Brascamp–Lieb ($n_i = 1$) used BL–Luttinger symmetrization.
Lieb used $O(2)$ invariance (like proof of B-conj by BL '70s).
- BCCT, Valdimarsson proved weaker version, $f_i = g_{Q_i} * \mu_i \ll g_{Q_i}$.
BCCT via heat-flow. Valdimarsson followed Barthe's Optimal-Transport method, and a gen. Caffarelli's Contraction Thm.
- $K \leadsto f_i \ll g_{\text{Id}}$, $h_i \gg g_{\text{Id}} \Rightarrow \exists! (\nabla \varphi)_*(f_i dx) = h_i dx$, $0 \leq \nabla^2 \varphi \leq \text{Id}$.

Comparison with other Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs

Thm (Forward Brascamp–Lieb '76, Lieb '90). Combine Bennet–Carbery–Christ–Tao '08, Valdimarsson '07, Kolesnikov '11)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$. Then for all $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \leq \inf_{0 < B_i \leq Q_i} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Can replace x_i in numerator with $P_i x$, $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$.
Generalized Hölder's inq; optimal constant in Young's inq.
- Taking $Q_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $\Lambda \nearrow \infty$ (no restriction on f_i , $B_i > 0$) $\rightsquigarrow$ Lieb '90.
Brascamp–Lieb ($n_i = 1$) used BL–Luttinger symmetrization.
Lieb used $O(2)$ invariance (like proof of B-conj by BL '70s).
- BCCT, Valdimarsson proved weaker version, $f_i = g_{Q_i} * \mu_i \ll g_{Q_i}$.
BCCT via heat-flow. Valdimarsson followed Barthe's Optimal-Transport method, and a gen. Caffarelli's Contraction Thm.
- $K \rightsquigarrow f_i \ll g_{\text{Id}}, h_i \gg g_{\text{Id}} \Rightarrow \exists! (\nabla \varphi)_*(f_i dx) = h_i dx, 0 \leq \nabla^2 \varphi \leq \text{Id}$.

Comparison with other Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs

Thm (Forward Brascamp–Lieb '76, Lieb '90). Combine Bennet–Carbery–Christ–Tao '08, Valdimarsson '07, Kolesnikov '11)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$. Then for all $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \leq \inf_{0 < B_i \leq Q_i} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Can replace x_i in numerator with $P_i x$, $P_i : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$.
Generalized Hölder's inq; optimal constant in Young's inq.
- Taking $Q_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $\Lambda \nearrow \infty$ (no restriction on f_i , $B_i > 0$) $\rightsquigarrow$ Lieb '90.
Brascamp–Lieb ($n_i = 1$) used BL–Luttinger symmetrization.
Lieb used $O(2)$ invariance (like proof of B-conj by BL '70s).
- BCCT, Valdimarsson proved weaker version, $f_i = g_{Q_i} * \mu_i \ll g_{Q_i}$.
BCCT via heat-flow. Valdimarsson followed Barthe's Optimal-Transport method, and a gen. Caffarelli's Contraction Thm.
- $K \rightsquigarrow f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$, $h_i \gg g_{Q_i^{-1}} \Rightarrow \exists! (\nabla \varphi)_*(f_i dx) = h_i dx$, $0 \leq \nabla^2 \varphi \leq Q_i$.

Comparison with other Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs

Thm (**Forward Brascamp–Lieb** '76, Lieb '90). Combine Bennet–Carbery–Christ–Tao '08, Valdimarsson '07, Kolesnikov '11)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$. Then for all $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \ll g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \leq \inf_{0 < B_i \leq Q_i} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- Observe “dual nature” to (even) inverse BL from previous slide.

Thm (**Even Inverse** Brascamp–Lieb again)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^N$. Then for all **even** $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{B_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} g_{B_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - II

Thm (**Reverse Brascamp–Lieb**, conjectured by K. Ball, Barthe '97).
Generalized formulation by Valdimarsson '07

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n_i}$. Then $\forall f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}^* \sup_{y=\sum c_i x_i + x} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} \right) dy}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \{ \text{Same as LHS, } f_i = g_{B_i} \}$$

- $Q = \Lambda \text{Id}_N$ and $Q_i = -\Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $\Lambda \nearrow \infty \rightsquigarrow$ no condition on f_i .
- Barthe pioneered OT proof (forward & reverse in tandem).
OT - Valdimarsson; Heat-flow (Barthe–Cordero, Barthe–Huet).
- Reverse BL is an extension of Prekopá–Leindler inq. (Reverse) BL very useful in convex geometry (Ball '89-'91, Barthe '98...)
- Ball: if $\text{Id}_N = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i P_i^* P_i$ (e.g. John's position), then Gaussian RHS in BL and reverse BL is 1 ("geometric case").

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - II

Thm (**Reverse Brascamp–Lieb**, conjectured by K. Ball, Barthe '97).
Generalized formulation by Valdimarsson '07

Let $Q \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^N$, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n_i}$. Then $\forall f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $f_i \gg g_{Q_i}$ (no evenness):

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}^* \sup_{y=\sum c_i x_i + x} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} \right) dy}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{B_i \geq Q_i \\ B_i > 0}} \{ \text{Same as LHS, } f_i = g_{B_i} \}$$

- $Q = \Lambda \text{Id}_N$ and $Q_i = -\Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $\Lambda \nearrow \infty \rightsquigarrow$ no condition on f_i .
- Barthe pioneered OT proof (forward & reverse in tandem).
OT - Valdimarsson; Heat-flow (Barthe–Cordero, Barthe–Huet).
- Reverse BL is an extension of Prekopá–Leindler inq. (Reverse) BL very useful in convex geometry (Ball '89-'91, Barthe '98...)
- Ball: if $\text{Id}_N = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i P_i^* P_i$ (e.g. John's position), then Gaussian RHS in BL and reverse BL is 1 ("geometric case").

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - III

$B_j^i : E_i \rightarrow E^j$ linear, $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{d_j\}_{j=1}^m > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \dim(E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \dim(E^j)$.

Thm (**Forward-Reverse BL**, Liu–Courtade–Cuff–Verdu '18, CL '19)

Let $D = D(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{B})$ be the optimal constant in the implication:

$$\prod_{i=1}^k f_i^{c_i}(x_i) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{d_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i B_j^i x_i \right) \quad \forall x_i \in E_i \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{E_i} f_i \right)^{c_i} \leq D \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{E^j} g_j \right)^{d_j}.$$

Then D saturated by centered Gaussians & $D(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{B}) = D(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{B}^*)$.

- Strictly generalizes forward and reverse BL into single statement, no difference between forward and reverse directions.
- $k = 1, c_1 = 1 \rightsquigarrow$ Forward BL; $m = 1, d_1 = 1 \rightsquigarrow$ Reverse BL.
As in Barthe's work, For/Rev optimal constants are **reciprocals**.
- Proof: information-theory — dual formulation, subadditivity of entropy (Carlen–Lieb–Loss '04, Carlen–Cordero-Erausquin '09).

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - III

$B_j^i : E_i \rightarrow E^j$ linear, $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{d_j\}_{j=1}^m > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \dim(E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \dim(E^j)$.

Thm (**Forward-Reverse BL**, Liu–Courtade–Cuff–Verdu '18, CL '19)

Let $D = D(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{B})$ be the optimal constant in the implication:

$$\prod_{i=1}^k f_i^{c_i}(x_i) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{d_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i B_j^i x_i \right) \quad \forall x_i \in E_i \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{E_i} f_i \right)^{c_i} \leq D \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{E^j} g_j \right)^{d_j}.$$

Then D saturated by centered Gaussians & $D(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{B}) = D(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{B}^*)$.

- Strictly generalizes forward and reverse BL into single statement, no difference between forward and reverse directions.
- $k = 1, c_1 = 1 \rightsquigarrow$ Forward BL; $m = 1, d_1 = 1 \rightsquigarrow$ Reverse BL.
As in Barthe's work, For/Rev optimal constants are **reciprocals**.
- Proof: information-theory — dual formulation, subadditivity of entropy (Carlen–Lieb–Loss '04, Carlen–Cordero-Erausquin '09).

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - IV

Thm (**Inverse BL**, Chen–Dafnis–Paouris '15, Barthe–Wolff '22)

$\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^N$, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $\bar{Q} - \oplus_{i=1}^m c_i Q_i \leq 0$. Then $\forall h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x_i) dx_i)$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- As usual, $x_i \leftrightarrow P_i x$.
- CDP - “geometric case” when RHS = 1.
- BW - general version, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Obtained precise condition on $(\bar{Q}, P_i, c_i)$ characterizing when lower-bound is saturated by centered Gaussians.
- Wolff - general formulation of inverse BL equivalent to Forward-Reverse BL (!) (need to use negative c_i ’s...)
- Proofs: CDP - heat-flow / Gaussian integration;
BW - Barthe’s OT + BCCT structure analysis.

More Brascamp–Lieb-type inqs - IV

Thm (Inverse BL, Chen–Dafnis–Paouris '15, Barthe–Wolff '22)

$\bar{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^N$, $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{\geq 0}^{n_i}$, $\bar{Q} - \oplus_{i=1}^m c_i Q_i \leq 0$. Then $\forall h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, g_{Q_i}(x_i) dx_i)$

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} h_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}} \geq \inf_{\substack{A_i \geq 0 \\ A_i + Q_i > 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \bar{Q}x, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m g_{A_i}(x_i)^{c_i} dx}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_i x_i, x_i \rangle} g_{A_i}(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}}.$$

- As usual, $x_i \leftrightarrow P_i x$.
- CDP - “geometric case” when RHS = 1.
- BW - general version, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Obtained precise condition on $(\bar{Q}, P_i, c_i)$ characterizing when lower-bound is saturated by centered Gaussians.
- Wolff - general formulation of inverse BL equivalent to Forward-Reverse BL (!) (need to use negative c_i ’s...)
- Proofs: CDP - heat-flow / Gaussian integration;
BW - Barthe’s OT + BCCT structure analysis.

Nakamura–Tsuji’s Even Inverse BL

For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ write $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ if $A_i \leq B_i$ for all i . Let $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m); \text{ even } f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}) \text{ } g_{A_i} \ll f_i \ll g_{B_i}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}; g_i = g_{C_i} \text{ } (A_i \leq C_i \leq B_i)\}.$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ is omitted, no restriction of being “more-log-convex”.

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) := \frac{\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}},$$

Clearly:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} := \inf_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}).$$

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb, M. ’25 after Nakamura–Tsuji ’24)

$\forall \mathbf{Q} \geq 0$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact $\forall \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

NT - proved for $\mathbf{Q} = 0$, $A_i = \lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $B_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$. We repeat their proof.

Nakamura–Tsuji's Even Inverse BL

For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ write $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ if $A_i \leq B_i$ for all i . Let $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m); \text{ even } f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}) \text{ } g_{A_i} \ll f_i \ll g_{B_i}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}; g_i = g_{C_i} \text{ } (A_i \leq C_i \leq B_i)\}.$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ is omitted, no restriction of being “more-log-convex”.

$$BL(\mathbf{f}) := \frac{\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}},$$

Clearly:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} BL(\mathbf{f}) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} := \inf_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} BL(\mathbf{g}).$$

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura–Tsuji '24)

$\forall \mathbf{Q} \geq 0$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact $\forall \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

NT - proved for $\mathbf{Q} = 0$, $A_i = \lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $B_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$. We repeat their proof.

Nakamura–Tsuji's Even Inverse BL

For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ write $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ if $A_i \leq B_i$ for all i . Let $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m); \text{ even } f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}) \text{ } g_{A_i} \ll f_i \ll g_{B_i}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}; g_i = g_{C_i} \text{ } (A_i \leq C_i \leq B_i)\}.$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ is omitted, no restriction of being “more-log-convex”.

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) := \frac{\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}},$$

Clearly:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} := \inf_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}).$$

Thm (Even Inverse Brascamp–Lieb, M. '25 after Nakamura–Tsuji '24)

$\forall \mathbf{Q} \geq 0$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact $\forall \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

NT - proved for $\mathbf{Q} = 0$, $A_i = \lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $B_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$. We repeat their proof.

Nakamura–Tsuji’s Even Inverse BL

For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ write $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ if $A_i \leq B_i$ for all i . Let $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m); \text{ even } f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}) \text{ } g_{A_i} \ll f_i \ll g_{B_i}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \{\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}; g_i = g_{C_i} \text{ } (A_i \leq C_i \leq B_i)\}.$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ is omitted, no restriction of being “more-log-convex”.

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) := \frac{\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)^{c_i} d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i \right)^{c_i}},$$

Clearly:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} := \inf_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}).$$

Thm (**Even Inverse** Brascamp–Lieb, M. ’25 after Nakamura–Tsuji ’24)

$\forall \mathbf{Q} \geq \mathbf{0}$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In fact $\forall \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

NT - proved for $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$, $A_i = \lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$, $B_i = \Lambda \text{Id}_{n_i}$. We repeat their proof.

Nakamura–Tsuji's Proof

Fix $0 < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

- ① Infimum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})$ is attained on some $\mathbf{f}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Here strict log-concavity is used via Arzelà–Ascoli.

- ② If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$ then (key computation on next slide)

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\text{Conv } \mathbf{f} = (\text{Conv } f_1, \dots, \text{Conv } f_m), \text{Conv } f_i = 2^{n_i/2} (f_i * f_i)(\sqrt{2} \cdot).$$

Lemma A: $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow \text{Conv } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 &= \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}_0)^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 \\ &\leadsto \text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0 \text{ minimizer} \leadsto \forall k \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- ③ By CLT, $\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

- ④ For all $\mathbf{Q} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q} + \lambda \text{Id}, \Lambda \text{Id}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$ □

Nakamura–Tsuji's Proof

Fix $0 < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

- 1 Infimum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})$ is attained on some $\mathbf{f}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Here strict log-concavity is used via Arzelà–Ascoli.
- 2 If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$ then (key computation on next slide)

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\text{Conv } \mathbf{f} = (\text{Conv } f_1, \dots, \text{Conv } f_m), \text{Conv } f_i = 2^{n_i/2} (f_i * f_i)(\sqrt{2} \cdot).$$

Lemma A: $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow \text{Conv } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 &= \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}_0)^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 \\ &\leadsto \text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0 \text{ minimizer} \leadsto \forall k \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- 3 By CLT, $\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

- 4 For all $\mathbf{Q} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q} + \lambda \text{Id}, \Lambda \text{Id}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$

□

Nakamura–Tsuji's Proof

Fix $0 < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

- 1 Infimum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})$ is attained on some $\mathbf{f}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Here strict log-concavity is used via Arzelà–Ascoli.
- 2 If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$ then (key computation on next slide)

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv} \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\text{Conv} \mathbf{f} = (\text{Conv} f_1, \dots, \text{Conv} f_m), \text{Conv} f_i = 2^{n_i/2} (f_i * f_i)(\sqrt{2} \cdot).$$

Lemma A: $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow \text{Conv} \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 &= \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}_0)^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv} \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 \\ &\leadsto \text{Conv} \mathbf{f}_0 \text{ minimizer} \leadsto \forall k \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- 3 By CLT, $\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

- 4 For all $\mathbf{Q} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q} + \lambda \text{Id}, \Lambda \text{Id}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$ □

Nakamura–Tsuji's Proof

Fix $0 < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

- 1 Infimum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})$ is attained on some $\mathbf{f}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Here strict log-concavity is used via Arzelà–Ascoli.
- 2 If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$ then (key computation on next slide)

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\text{Conv } \mathbf{f} = (\text{Conv } f_1, \dots, \text{Conv } f_m), \text{Conv } f_i = 2^{n_i/2} (f_i * f_i)(\sqrt{2} \cdot).$$

Lemma A: $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow \text{Conv } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 &= \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}_0)^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 \\ &\leadsto \text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0 \text{ minimizer} \leadsto \forall k \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- 3 By CLT, $\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

- 4 For all $\mathbf{Q} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q} + \lambda \text{Id}, \Lambda \text{Id}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$

□

Nakamura–Tsuji's Proof

Fix $0 < \mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$.

- 1 Infimum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} := \inf_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})$ is attained on some $\mathbf{f}_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Here strict log-concavity is used via Arzelà–Ascoli.
- 2 If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$ then (key computation on next slide)

$$\mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}),$$

$$\text{Conv } \mathbf{f} = (\text{Conv } f_1, \dots, \text{Conv } f_m), \text{Conv } f_i = 2^{n_i/2} (f_i * f_i)(\sqrt{2} \cdot).$$

Lemma A: $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \Rightarrow \text{Conv } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 &= \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f}_0)^2 \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^2 \\ &\leadsto \text{Conv } \mathbf{f}_0 \text{ minimizer} \leadsto \forall k \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- 3 By CLT, $\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv}^k \mathbf{f}_0) \geq \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{g}) \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

- 4 For all $\mathbf{Q} \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q} + \lambda \text{Id}, \Lambda \text{Id}} \leadsto \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. □

Convolution computation

Assume w.l.o.g. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i = 1$, and set $F(x) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x) dx \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F * F(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qy, y \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(f_i \left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)^{c_i} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

$O(2)$ trick: Brascamp–Lieb '75, Lieb '90, Ball's lemma in Barthe '97.

Lemma B: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\mathbf{f}_x := \left(f_i \left(\frac{x_i + \cdot}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - \cdot}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)_{i=1, \dots, m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_i \left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) dy \right)^{c_i} dx \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Conv } f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Convolution computation

Assume w.l.o.g. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i(x_i) dx_i = 1$, and set $F(x) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BL}(\mathbf{f})^2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x) dx \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F * F(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qy, y \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(f_i \left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)^{c_i} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

$O(2)$ trick: Brascamp–Lieb '75, Lieb '90, Ball's lemma in Barthe '97.

Lemma B: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\mathbf{f}_x := \left(f_i \left(\frac{x_i + \cdot}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - \cdot}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)_{i=1, \dots, m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_i \left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_i \left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) dy \right)^{c_i} dx \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Qx, x \rangle} \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Conv } f_i(x_i)^{c_i} dx = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}} \mathcal{BL}(\text{Conv } \mathbf{f}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Concluding Remark

Where was evenness used in the proof?

Concluding Remark

Where was evenness used in the proof?

Nowhere.

For CLT, just need $\int f_i(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Rolling back, $\int h_i(x_i)g_{Q_i}(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Thm (Nakamura–Tsuji '25+ forthcoming)

For all convex $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{bar}_\gamma(K_i) = 0$,

$$\gamma^n(K_1 \cap K_2) \geq \gamma^n(K_1)\gamma^n(K_2).$$

where $\text{bar}_\gamma(C) := \frac{1}{\gamma^n(C)} \int_C \bar{x} d\gamma^n(x)$. Moreover,

$$\gamma^n\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \gamma^n(K_i).$$

Concluding Remark

Where was evenness used in the proof?

Nowhere.

For CLT, just need $\int f_i(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Rolling back, $\int h_i(x_i)g_{Q_i}(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Thm (Nakamura–Tsuji '25+ forthcoming)

For all convex $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{bar}_\gamma(K_i) = 0$,

$$\gamma^n(K_1 \cap K_2) \geq \gamma^n(K_1)\gamma^n(K_2).$$

where $\text{bar}_\gamma(C) := \frac{1}{\gamma^n(C)} \int_C \bar{x} d\gamma^n(x)$. Moreover,

$$\gamma^n\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \gamma^n(K_i).$$

Concluding Remark

Where was evenness used in the proof?

Nowhere.

For CLT, just need $\int f_i(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Rolling back, $\int h_i(x_i)g_{Q_i}(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Thm (Nakamura–Tsuji '25+ forthcoming)

For all convex $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{bar}_\gamma(K_i) = 0$,

$$\gamma^n(K_1 \cap K_2) \geq \gamma^n(K_1)\gamma^n(K_2).$$

where $\text{bar}_\gamma(C) := \frac{1}{\gamma^n(C)} \int_C \vec{x} d\gamma^n(x)$. Moreover,

$$\gamma^n\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \gamma^n(K_i).$$

Concluding Remark

Where was evenness used in the proof?

Nowhere.

For CLT, just need $\int f_i(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Rolling back, $\int h_i(x_i)g_{Q_i}(x_i)x_i dx_i = 0$.

Thm (Nakamura–Tsuji '25+ forthcoming)

For all convex $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{bar}_\gamma(K_i) = 0$,

$$\gamma^n(K_1 \cap K_2) \geq \gamma^n(K_1)\gamma^n(K_2).$$

where $\text{bar}_\gamma(C) := \frac{1}{\gamma^n(C)} \int_C \vec{x} d\gamma^n(x)$. Moreover,

$$\gamma^n\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \gamma^n(K_i).$$

Thanks for you attention!