

Conditional Concentration for functions of HD rdm arrays

(joint work with P. Dodos and K. Tyros)

2/23/2023

Introduction

- Concentration: (Talagrand) A function of many variables which depends smoothly on them is essentially constant.

Examples • (Gaussian) $G \sim N(0, I_n)$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, f is 1-Lipschitz
then $P(|f(G) - \mathbb{E}f(G)| > t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/2}$, $t > 0$

- Martingale methods: Azuma's inequality
If d_1, \dots, d_n is a multiplicative system on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , that is

$$\forall I \subset [n] \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in I} d_i \right] = 0,$$

(e.g. a martingale dif. seq.)

then $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \sqrt{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|d_j\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2}$, $2 \leq p < \infty$.

• Doob's martingale decomposition + Azuma Ineq \Rightarrow

McDiarmid's bd dif. ineq: $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, x_i indep.

f is Lip wrt the weighted Hamming metric

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \neq y_i\}}$$

$$f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j$$

$$d_k = \mathbb{E}[f(X) | x_1, \dots, x_k] - \mathbb{E}[f(X) | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]$$

$k=1, 2, \dots, n$

Q: How about concentration when f lacks smoothness properties?

Tao ($p=2$)

DKT ($1 < p \leq 2$)

} Conditional concentration

$p=2$: Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon > 0 \exists N = N(\epsilon, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ st: For any rdm vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$

where X_i are indep and \mathcal{X} -valued, and for any $f: \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$\|f(X)\|_{L^2} = 1$, there exists a segment $I \subset [n]$ with $|I|=k$ so that

$\mathbb{E}[f(X) | \underbrace{X_i: i \in I}_{\mathcal{F}_I}]$ is well-concentrated around its mean, i.e.

$$P(|\mathbb{E}[f(X)|F_I] - \mathbb{E}f(X)| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon.$$

I: ^{segment} interval ↷

$$I = \{i+1, i+2, \dots, i+k\} \subset [n].$$

Sketch of proof $f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X) = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$, $d_k =$ as before

d_k are mutually orthogonal

$$1 \geq \text{Var}(f(X)) = \mathbb{E}[\sum d_i]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(d_i^2) \implies \exists k \text{ st } \mathbb{E}d_k^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \geq \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}[f|X_1, \dots, X_k] - \mathbb{E}[f|X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}] \right)^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}[d_k^2 | X_k] \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}[d_k | X_k] \right)^2 \right]$$

Jensen

↑ X_i 's indep.

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}[f|X_k] - \mathbb{E}[f] \right)^2$$

f instead I_1, \dots, I_m , $m \sim \frac{n}{k}$, $|I_j| = k$ intervals of $[n]$

$$\text{and } \Delta_k = \mathbb{E}[f | X_i : i \in \bigcup_{s=1}^k I_s] - \mathbb{E}[f | X_i : i \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{k-1} I_s]$$

by arguing similarly, we find that $\exists k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ s.t.

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[f | X_i : i \in I_k]) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sim \frac{k}{n}.$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{E}[f | X_i : i \in I_k] - \mathbb{E}f(X)| > \epsilon) \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{k}{n} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{provided that } k \lesssim \epsilon^3 n.$$

DKT (2016): Similar for $\|f(X)\|_{L^p} = 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$.

Extension of the "orthog. of m.d.s" in L^p :

- Burkholder's inequality: estimates for square-function,
- Ricard, Xu (2016): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p-1}} \|\sum_{i=1}^m d_i\|_{L^p} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|d_i\|_{L^p}^2\right)^{1/2}$. (d_i) m.d.s.

Main Goal: To extend this phenomenon to HD rdm arrays, that lack independence, but enjoy symmetries.

Def. (rdm arrays; subarrays)

- d-dim rdm array on $[n]$ it's a stochastic process $X = \langle X_t : t \in \binom{[n]}{d} \rangle$
- sub-array: fix $I \subset [n]$, $|I| \geq d$: $X_I = \langle X_t : t \in \binom{I}{d} \rangle$
- σ -algebras generated by X_I will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_I = \sigma(X_I)$

Examples 1) $d=1 \rightsquigarrow$ rdm vectors.

$d=2 \rightsquigarrow$ rdm sym. matrices

$d \gg 1 \rightsquigarrow$ rdm sym. tensors.

2) ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n (indep) rdm variables $\forall t \subset [n], |t|=d \implies X_t = \prod_{j \in t} \xi_j$.

or more generally $X_t = f(\xi_{i_1}, \xi_{i_2}, \dots, \xi_{i_d})$,
when $t = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d\} \subset [n]$

• spreadability: X d-dim rdm array it's spreadable if

$$\forall A, B \subset [n], |A| = |B| \geq d \quad X_A \stackrel{d}{=} X_B$$

η -spreadable: $\text{---} \parallel \text{---} \quad d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(X_A), \mathcal{L}(X_B)) \leq \eta$.

FACT If a rdm array is finite-valued then for n large enough there is a sub-array which is η -spreadable. (Hence, approx. spreadability is ubiquitous)

dissoceativity: X dissoceated if $\exists A, B \subset [n]$, $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow X_A, X_B$ are indep.
 E.g. $X_t = \prod_{i \in t} \xi_i$, (ξ_i) indep. (as above)

Main result (for $d=2$; Boolean case)

$1 < p \leq 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \geq 2$ and $C := C(\varepsilon, p, k) = \exp\left(\frac{C_0}{\varepsilon^p(p-1)} k^2\right)$

$n \geq C$ and $X = \langle X_t : t \in \binom{[n]}{2} \rangle$ be $\{0,1\}$ -valued,

$\frac{1}{C}$ -spreadable, and assume the

"Box independence condition, i.e.,

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_{13} X_{14} X_{23} X_{24}] - \mathbb{E}[X_{13}] \mathbb{E}[X_{14}] \mathbb{E}[X_{23}] \mathbb{E}[X_{24}]| \leq \frac{1}{C}$$

Then, $\forall f: \{0,1\}^{\binom{[n]}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ st. $\|f(X)\|_{L^p} = 1$,

$\exists I \subset [n]$, $|I| = k \left(\asymp_{\varepsilon, p} \sqrt{\log n} \right)$ st. $\mathbb{P}\left(|\mathbb{E}[f(X) | \mathcal{F}_I] - \mathbb{E}f(X)| > \varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon$.

